

Attorney Docket No.: 300.68PATENT**REMARKS**

In the Advisory Action dated May 13, 2005, the Examiner refused to enter applicant's proposed amendments after final rejection. The Examiner indicated that the proposed amendments (1) raise new issues that require further consideration, and (2) raise issues of new matter, because the proposed amendment "*adds new features that do not seem to be supported by the specification.*" Applicant respectfully disagrees – these features are clearly supported by the specification. Applicant therefore requests reconsideration in light of the following remarks.

In paragraph 0026 of the specification, applicant discloses "*an area or air gap 406 between the display screen faceplate 405 and the lenticules 402. We use this space to allow a liquid to enter or leave the air gap 406.*" In paragraph 0027, applicant discloses sealing the edge to prevent liquid from escaping thereby forming chamber 502. These two paragraphs provide clear support for the proposed amendments. On this basis, the Examiner is urged to enter the proposed amendments for the purpose of appeal.

As previously written, independent claims 5, 8 and 18 each required that the closed chamber be formed "*over the lenticules.*" However, because the lenticular screen could have two different orientations – one where the lenticules face toward the display screen, and one where the lenticules face away from the display screen - the phrase "*over the lenticules*" was ambiguous and has been amended to provide better clarity with regard to the invention. Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees or credit overpayment to our Deposit Account No. 04-0822.

Respectfully submitted,

DERGOSITS & NOAH LLP



Dated: May 25, 2005

By: _____

Richard A. Nebb
Reg. No. 33,540

Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 1450
San Francisco, CA 94111
(415) 705-6377 tel
(415) 705-6383 fax
rnebb@dergnoah.com